

Elaboration and Implementation of Family Medicine Groups (FMGs): An Opportunity for Primary Care Renewal in Quebec?



Elisabeth MARTIN, Ph.D. candidate, Laval University; Marie-Pascale POMEY, MD, Ph.D., University of Montreal; Pierre-Gerlier FOREST, Ph.D., Laval University

Background: FMGs

- In Quebec, since the 1970s, primary health care services were historically organized around two models: CLSCs and medical clinics
- In 2001-2002, a third organizational model emerged: Family Medicine Groups (FMGs)

Characteristics of FMGs

- √ 6-12 general practitioners (GPs)
- ✓ Multidisciplinary practices (collaboration with nurses)
- Patient registration
- ✓ Patient follow-up
- ✓ Responsibility for a given population

Objectives of FMGs

- ✓ To improve access to GPs and the continuity and quality of care
- ✓ To reinforce professional collaboration
- ✓ To implement a global approach to health (prevention and promotion)
- ✓ To give new legitimacy and value to the work done by GPs

Research Objectives

- ✓ To describe the policy-making process around the development and implementation of FMGs
- ✓ To draw lessons learned

Theoretical Framework

- We analyzed this reform at three key moments in the policy-making process (Kingdon, 1995):
 - √ the government agenda
 - ✓ the decision-making agenda
- √ the choice of a policy

Methodology

- √ The data was gathered using 13 semi-structured interviews with key decision-makers
- ✓ Interview were transcribed and coded with QSR-NVivo
- √ The relevant literature (grey and scientific) was analyzed

Results

The government agenda: Factors contributing to the emergence of the issue (1990-2000)

- ✓ Growing interest in primary care reform in OECD countries in the 1990s
- ✓ Recognition of the limits of existing primary care organizational models in terms of accessibility, collaboration and continuity of care
- ✓ Changing trends in the practice of medicine
- √ Calls for reform by GPs and the research community

The decision-making agenda: The Commission of Inquiry into Health and Social Services (Clair Commission) (2000)

- ✓The Clair Commission helped put the issue of primary care reform on the radar screen of the Quebec government
- ✓ It was a research process that resulted in the development and definition of the broad objectives and characteristics of the FMG model
- √The model was informed by international (UK, USA) and Canadian experiences
 (Ontario)
- ✓The Commission focused on developing recommendations to ensure that the implementation of FMGs would be politically feasible
- ✓ Overall, the work of the Commission contributed to building a social consensus around the need for reform and this new model for primary care delivery

The choice of a public policy (2001)

- √ Two months after the report was made public, the government announced that
 FMGs would be implemented across the province
- ✓ The government demonstrated strong political will to proceed with this reform, due to electoral considerations
- ✓ The Ministry of Health and Social Services was put in charge of the
 operationalization of the broad characteristics of the model developed by the Clair
 Commission

Overview of the implementation

- ✓ The government wanted the implementation to proceed rapidly
- ✓ Difficult negotiations about implementation between the Ministry of Health and the family physicians' union ensued
- ✓ Many features of the model were contested, especially the following:

Patient Registration

- √ Fear that it could lead to competition between GPs to attract patients
- ✓ Seen as restraining patients' right to choose and their autonomy
- ✓ Perceived by GPs as a tool that could be used to introduce capitation payment
- ➤ Following negotiations, patient registration was put in place but enrolment targets were significantly lowered

Payment Plans

- ✓ The Clair Commission had recommended a mixed approach with capitation, fee-for-service and salary
- ✓ Changes to payment plans were seen by the Commission as a tool to transform professional practices
- √GPs resisted changes to payment plans
- Following negotiations, GPs working within FMGs kept fee-for-service payment with only minor changes to remuneration (compensation for patient registration and the management of vulnerable patients)

Alternative Models

- ✓ The Montreal region called for alternative models to be put in place to suit
 the reality of local medical practices (walk-in clinics, multiethnic clientele)
- √ The standardized model and approach were criticized
- >Despite negotiations, exceptional models were not implemented in Montreal

Conclusion

- ✓ The FMG is an innovative model to strengthen primary care
- ✓ The implementation strategy chosen by the government, however, hampered the development of FMGs:
 - ➤ Negotiation with GPs about the features of the model were difficult (confrontational negotiation strategy)
 - The strategy was to implement a standardized model quickly rather than use a flexible model with a gradual approach to implementation.
 - ➤ The process lacked political leadership
- ✓ Following negotiations with GPs, the original features of the model were diluted, making it relatively unattractive for GPs especially in terms of financial incentives
- ✓ Overall, the FMG is a reform that did not challenge core institutionalized agreements with physicians in terms of payment plans and the organization of medical practices

FMGs: Where are we now?

- ✓ New models have started to emerge in Montreal
- ✓ Implementation has been easier in rural than in urban areas (lack of resources has forced GPs to collaborate)
- ✓ As of August 2006, 113 FMGs have been accredited. The initial target was to have 300 FMGs by 2005

REFERENCE

Kingdon, J.W., Agendas, Alternatives, and Public Policies (New York: Harper Collins College Publishers, 1995).

