


Critique of 3MT Presentation: \_\_\_\_\_

**1. Structure, Content**



**2. Delivery**

Body language

Voice

Slide

Other

**3. Clarity:** Was the take-home message clearly communicated? Re-state the message.

**4. Official 3MT Judging Criteria**

i) Communication - Was the thesis topic and its significance communicated in language appropriate to an intelligent but non-specialist audience? /100

ii) Comprehension - Did the presentation help the audience understand the research? /100

iii) Engagement - Did the oration make the audience want to know more? /100

## Judging Criteria

Please remember that the 3MT is a communication exercise and the point of the event is to give students the opportunity to communicate their scholarly research and creativity.

At every level of the competition each competitor's presentation will be assessed according to the criteria listed below. Please note that each criterion is equally weighted.

### **Communication**

- Did the presenter use non-verbal communication (ie. eye contact, voice modulation, body language, etc) effectively?
- Did the presenter use language and terminology that was clear, jargon free and understandable to general audience?
- Did the presentation follow a logical sequence?
- Did the presenter spend adequate time on each element of their presentation? Did they elaborate for too long on one aspect, or did the presentation feel rushed?

### **Comprehension:**

- Did the talk help you to understand the scholarly research and creativity being undertaken?
- Did the presenter clearly outline the nature and purpose of their research?
- Did the presenter clearly indicate the fascinating or compelling aspects of their research?
- Did the presentation provide an understanding of the background and significance to the research question being addressed?
- Did the presentation clearly describe the impact and/or results of the research, including conclusions and outcomes?

### **Engagement**

- Was the talk engaging?
- Did the presenter capture and maintain the audiences attention?
- Did the presenter convey enthusiasm for their work?
- Was the presenter careful not to trivialize or generalize their research?
- Did the talk inspire you to want to know more?
- Did the PowerPoint slide enhance the presentation - was it clear, legible, and concise?