
1 **Executive Summary** – verbally presented to the Committee by the primary reviewer.

2 **Funding** – what is the funding source and has the proposal been peer-reviewed for scientific merit?

3 **Consideration of Alternatives** – have Replacement and Refinement been addressed? Has a literature search for alternatives been referenced? (**Reduction is addressed under the Experimental Groups section – Justification of animal numbers.**)

4 **Procedures with Animals** – primary reviewer can give a verbal summary of the procedures as they occur in chronological order.
   - Is the level of invasiveness correct?
   - Veterinary input (anesthetics, analgesics, doses, techniques)
   - Evaluation according to UACC policies and guidelines and University wide SOP’s.
   - Is it easy to understand what an animal will experience from start to finish?

5 **Experimental Groups/Numbers** – have the numbers been outlined in a manner that is easy to follow and that provides adequate justification. Are all 3 areas of the protocol covering numbers consistent (the SSB Use Details table; the authorized amounts question and the justification of animal numbers)?

6 **History** – has the lab successfully performed these procedures before – what is their level of experience? Do they have adequate training?

7 **Occupational Health & Safety** – has adequate information been provide for the use of any hazards involved in this protocol (radioisotopes/biohazards/chemicals/zoonotics)?

8 **Discussion and final decision.**