Screen Cultures and Curatorial Studies (SCCS)

Program Overview

Housed in the state-of-the-art Isabel Bader Centre for the Performing Arts and the Agnes Etherington Art Centre, the new Master’s and PhD in Screen Cultures and Curatorial Studies are unique because of their linkage of adjacent disciplines: film and media studies and, more generally, the study of screen cultures, film and media production, and curatorial studies and practice. These multidisciplinary and professionally-oriented programs provide students with a wide range of educational and professional opportunities, including academia, arts management, programming, media production (from mainstream media, to artistic and activist production), and curating.

The program’s three strongly interconnected areas of focus—studies, production, and curation—are designed to stimulate inventive dialogue in ways that ensure their respective influence, and in ways that open exciting points of access to multiple disciplinary formations. This collaborative tripartite structure is not offered in any other film, media, cinema, art or communication Master’s or PhD program in Ontario.

Visiting scholars, filmmakers, artists, and curators, in the core professional development and elective courses, provide opportunities for practice-based learning, allowing students to integrate new knowledge gained from other graduate-level coursework and to implement newly acquired skills in and beyond the gallery, festival and museum.

Exhibition space will be available to students at the Art & Media Lab in The Isabel Bader Centre, the Agnes Etherington Art Centre, the Union Gallery (on an application basis), and/or online, to accommodate curatorial projects. The program offers seed funding for MA and PhD screen cultures curatorial projects (up to $350.00 per student). PhD students can also apply to the Dean’s project fund for up to $3000. The slate of courses offered by this partnership provides robust opportunities to participate in and benefit from experiential, applied learning.

Research Areas

- Film, Media and Screen Cultures
- Experimental Media
- Curatorial Studies
- Moving Image Production (Narrative, Documentary, Experimental, Animation, Open Media)
- Film, Media and Performance Studies
- Historical and Contemporary Film and Media
- Archives, Curation, and Remediation
- National Cinemas, Cultural Institutions and Curatorial Events
- Feminist, Critical Race, Indigenous and LGBTQ2+ Screen Cultures
- Environmental Film and Media
MA Program

The Master’s in Screen Cultures and Curatorial Studies is a 12-month program.

Admission Requirements

BAH or BFA degree from a recognized university in film, media studies, or cognate fields (e.g. communication, cultural studies, film and media production, visual arts, art history, popular culture).
Minimum of a B+/75% in the last two years of university study. Consideration will be given to applicants who demonstrate exceptional and relevant professional experience and achievement. There is no qualifying exam for the 12-month Master’s degree.

Degree Requirements

Students are required to complete three Core Courses and two Option Courses, as well as a Thesis/Project. Students are allowed to take additional courses, upon consultation with the supervisor and Graduate Chair.

Courses
Core SCCS courses:
SCCS 810/6.0/F/W Professional Development in Screen Cultures and Curatorial Studies
SCCS 812/3.0/W Critical and Theoretical Approaches to Screen Cultures and Curatorial Studies
SCCS 814/3.0/F Histories and Methodologies of Screen Cultures and Curatorial Studies

Option Courses:
SCCS 820/3.0/W Media Production Seminar
SCCS 828/3.0/F Critical Curatorial Studies Seminar
SCCS 830/3.0/W Curating in Context
SCCS 840/3.0 Directed Reading

MA students may also choose Option Courses from the following list of FILM and MEDIA and/or Cultural Studies courses:

FILM MA Option Courses: (not all are offered every year): FILM 400/3.0; FILM 415/3.0; FILM 420/3.0; FILM 422/3.0; FILM 425/3.0; FILM 430/3.0; FILM 435/3.0; FILM 440/3.0; FILM 445/3.0; FILM 456/3.0.
For detailed descriptions of Film and Media courses click HERE.
Cultural Studies may have room for SCCS students in their courses. **CUST MA Option Courses:**
CUST 806/3.0; CUST 892/3.0; CUST 816/1.0; CUST 800/3.0; CUST 804/3.0; CUST 807/3.0; CUST 893/3.0; CUST 817/1.0; CUST 815/1.0. For detailed descriptions of Cultural Studies courses click [HERE](#). Relevant courses may also be found in Art History, Gender Studies, English, and International Development Studies. Courses outside SCCS require permission from both the instructor and the Graduate Chair of SCCS.

**Final MA Work:**
The MA final work can take any one of the four following formats, depending on the focus of the student:

**Major Research Paper (MRP)**
- Students may write a major research paper. This is a substantial academic essay of several chapters (10,000 – 15,000 words) presenting evidence to illuminate a research question. It makes clear its methodology and engages with relevant scholarship.

**Project**
- Students may produce a media work with a complementary written component situating the work into larger debates in the field (5,000 – 7,500 words). That is, students are required to articulate their project’s rationale, conditions of production, and implications in relation to academic scholarship. The two components together are expected to be equivalent to a MA MRP in ambition or scope.

**Curatorial Project**
- Students may produce a curatorial project/installation (the Art and Media Lab is booked for the summer) with a complementary written component situating the work into larger debates in the field (5,000 – 7,500 words). Again, students are required to articulate their project’s rationale, conditions of production, and implications in relation to academic scholarship. The two components together are expected to be equivalent to a MA MRP in ambition or scope.

**Video Essay**
- Students may create a video essay synthesizing studies, curatorial and production questions, with a complementary written component, situating the work into larger debates in the field (5,000 – 7,500 words). Again, students are required to articulate their project’s rationale, relation to academic scholarship, and original contribution. The two components together are expected to be equivalent to a MA MRP in ambition or scope.

**Funding**
The Department of Film and Media provides financial support for MA students. The current minimum level of funding is $16,000 for the academic year. Financial support is derived from a combination of internal and external awards and in most cases a Teaching Assistantships. This
funding does not cover all living expenses, but rather covers the tuition and contributes to living expenses.

We encourage all incoming students to apply for external funding from OGS, SSHRC and other sources. For more information, see the School of Graduate Studies’ information on awards and scholarships.

Tuition costs are the responsibility of the students. Information about tuition and fees can be found on the registrar’s site. Annual enrolment is expected with three semesters of tuition.

**Guidelines for Progress through the MA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>September</th>
<th>Fall Term Begins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>Contact potential supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>Winter Term Begins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meet with supervisor about Final MA work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Select Secondary Reader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discuss bibliography/filmography/cultural texts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discuss Ethics Review process, if necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>By April 15, submit proposal to supervisor and secondary reader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End of April, program-wide proposal approval meetings (date to be set each year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>Attend SCCS Summer Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public presentations of final MA projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throughout</td>
<td>Attend pertinent events at Queen’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consult and share work with fellow students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reach out to potential colleagues, audiences, and supporters via conferences, community events, etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Detailed timelines:**

By January students should meet with their supervisor to discuss their thesis project. In consultation with the supervisor, a second reader should also be sought at this point. (If the
chosen party is not permanent Queen’s faculty, the supervisor checks with the SCCS Graduate Chair, before finalizing this choice.)

Important: If working with human participants, Ethics Review processes should start no later than January, in consultation with the supervisor. Students should do the CORE training online.

Throughout the Winter term, students should work on their proposal and bibliography/filmography with supervisor. In mid-April students will submit Proposal to both supervisor and the secondary reader. Approval meetings will be scheduled for the end of April.

In mid-August all students will present publicly their major projects.

Final MA Work Proposal Guidelines:

Major Research Paper and Video Essay Proposals:
The format of the proposal may vary, and is determined in consultation with the supervisor, but the general expectation is for a document of 8-10 pages, accompanied by a bibliography, that makes clear the theoretical, methodological, and substantive elements and structure of the thesis. Students are required to show the relation of the research to the relevant academic literature. Proposals for research that require expenditures (such as travel) should include a budget. All proposals should include a timeline (and a budget, when applicable).

Research-Creation and Curatorial Project Proposals:
As above, the general expectation is for a document of 8-10 pages, accompanied by a bibliography, that makes clear the theoretical, methodological, and substantive elements and structure of the project. In consultation with the supervisor, a Research-Creation proposal may integrate artistic production or curation (see CUST Guidelines for Research-Creation for more information). If it does, the length of the written part of the proposal will be reduced accordingly, again in consultation with the supervisor. In the proposal, students will demonstrate how they conceive the relationship between the artistic or curatorial component of their work and the analytical/theoretical component, and will explain how they will document the artistic or curatorial component. Students are also required to show the relation of the research to the relevant academic literature. All proposals are to include a timeline and a budget. Students whose work will involve community collaborators must show that they have identified and communicated with appropriate participants, and they must justify their choice of participants given the theoretical, political, methodological, and practical contexts of their project. Students who work with human participants will have to undergo an application for ethics clearance from GREB.

Formal Process for MA Final Work Presentation and Defense
Supervisors and students should consult the SGS regulations. A student’s project will be examined by the supervisor and one other examiner. No oral defense takes place. At least ten working days prior to the August public presentation, the student must supply the supervisor, committee members, and chair of the defense a copy of the thesis or written component of the
project. Unlike the PhD process, examiners do not submit reports. After the defense, the SCCS Chair reports the result to the School of Graduate Studies using the signed required SGS form. Before the student can graduate, they must submit a final copy of their work and documentation of any project activities to Qspace.

**PhD Program**

A completed PhD comprises coursework, qualifying exams, independent research and writing, and an oral defense. The Screen Cultures and Curatorial Studies program is determined to make it possible for students to complete their degrees in four years, consistent with the funding that the program offers to all PhD students.

**Degree Requirements**

Students are required to complete three core courses (including SCCS 810, see below) and two Option Courses, a qualifying exam, and a Dissertation or Project. Students are allowed to take additional courses, upon consultation with the supervisor and Graduate Chair.

Students should consult with their supervisor about course selection. All first-year PhD students must take SCCS 810.

Doctoral students with a Queen's M.A. in Screen Cultures and Curatorial Studies need only take two optional courses, plus SCCS 810. Their two courses will be drawn from the list of available courses, and determined in consultation with supervisor and/or program director.

**Courses**

Core SCCS courses:
- SCCS 810/6.0/F/W Professional Development in Screen Cultures and Curatorial Studies
- SCCS 812/3.0/W Critical and Theoretical Approaches to Screen Cultures and Curatorial Studies
- SCCS 814/3.0/F Histories and Methodologies of Screen Cultures and Curatorial Studies

Option SCCS courses:
- SCCS 820/3.0/W Media Production Seminar
- SCCS 828/3.0/F Critical Curatorial Studies Seminar
- SCCS 830/3.0/F Curating in Context
- SCCS 840/3.0 Directed Reading

PhD students may also choose Option Courses from **Cultural Studies** courses:
Cultural Studies may have room for SCCS students in their courses. **CUST PhD Option Courses:**

CUST 806/3.0; CUST 892/3.0; CUST 816/1.0; CUST 800/3.0; CUST 804/3.0; CUST 807/3.0; CUST 893/3.0; CUST 817/1.0; CUST 815/1.0. For detailed descriptions of Cultural Studies courses click [HERE](#). Relevant courses may also be found in Art History, Gender Studies, English, and International Development Studies. Courses outside SCCS require permission from both the instructor and the Graduate Chair of SCCS.

**Funding**

The minimum funding guarantee for Screen Cultures and Curatorial Studies PhD students is $20,000 per year, throughout years 1-4. The funding package is created from Queen’s Graduate Awards, Teaching Assistantships, and named internal Fellowships. All students in the program who qualify must apply for external awards (OGS, SSHRC and other sources). Queen’s will automatically issue a $10,000 award to incoming PhD students who have won federal government tri-council awards (CIHR, NSERC, SSHRC). For more information, see the [School of Graduate Studies’](#) information on awards and scholarships. This funding does not cover all living expenses, but rather covers the tuition and contributes to living expenses.

**Guidelines for Progress Through the PhD Program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year One</th>
<th>Fall</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Spring/Summer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two courses + SCCS 810</td>
<td>Two courses + SCCS 810</td>
<td>Review goals for PhD</td>
<td>Begin preparing thesis/project proposal with budget and timeline Prepare for GREB if applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apply for external funding</td>
<td>Begin preparing thesis/project proposal with budget and timeline Apply for GREB if applicable</td>
<td>Confirm committee membership</td>
<td>Complete GREB if applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Two</td>
<td>Submit 1,000-word proposal for qualifying exam and the annotated bibliography. Meet with committee to discuss and set date for QE</td>
<td>Complete GREB if applicable</td>
<td>Defend thesis/project proposal (may be in September) and submit syllabus for undergraduate course in your specialization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apply for external funding if needed</td>
<td>Begin preparing thesis/project proposal with budget and timeline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Three</td>
<td>Begin thesis/project research/productions</td>
<td>Continue research</td>
<td>Continue thesis/proposal research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apply for conferences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Apply for external funding if needed and seek other ways to share your work

**Year Four**

Continue research
Apply for conferences, publication and exhibition opportunities
Apply for jobs
Complete thesis/project draft
Select external examiner
Schedule defense
Defend your thesis or project
Submit all materials for archiving

**Throughout**

Stay in touch with your committee
Pursue funding opportunities as available
Attend pertinent events at Queen's
Consult and share work with fellow students
Reach out to potential colleagues, audiences, and supporters via conferences, community events, etc.

*Selection of Supervisor*

The SCCS Graduate Committee generally identifies a preliminary supervisor at the application stage. With the Graduate Chair, the supervisor assists the student in planning the first semester of courses and investigating and deciding on a Supervisor.

During fall term, and continuing into winter term, students should approach one or more core faculty members to discuss their potential availability or interest to serve as Supervisor. Students must confirm their Supervisor early in winter semester, no later than Reading Week (mid-February).

All core faculty members in SCCS are eligible to supervise students. Co-supervision is possible in exceptional cases: i.e. if, in consultation with the Graduate Chair, it is decided that the student’s PhD project can be completed successfully only with two Supervisors.

*Preparation for Qualifying Exam*

In the early summer of Year 1, students revisit the PhD thesis or project they proposed upon application, consider whether their initial supervisor is still the best choice, and in consultation with their supervisor invite two other SCCS-affiliated faculty members to serve on their committee. In a situation where a community advisor, and adjunct instructor, or a non-SCCS-faculty member is desired for the committee, the student should consult with the Graduate Chair. (This committee, barring necessary changes, will be in place for the duration of the program.) Although students will have written versions of their PhD plans for admission to the program and for funding applications, at this point they have a chance to decide if this is how they do in fact wish to proceed. Once the general project is in view, students consult with their supervisor to identify skills, knowledges, or experiences they need in order to do the kind of work they want to do. For the remainder of the summer the focus should be on building an annotated bibliography of relevant works and materials they have already read or viewed (it
can include artworks, exhibitions, and so on, as appropriate), and those they plan to engage. This can be thought of as a “literature review” stage to prepare the student to ground their thesis/project proposal in the relevant communities of theory and practice. For guidance on annotated bibliographies, students may consult guidelines available from the Queen’s Writing Centre; the expectation for this purpose is that the annotation on each work should be about 200-300 words in length.

In or by early September of Year 2 (and after all coursework is completed), students submit a 1000-word Proposal for the Qualifying Exam, along with a bibliography of relevant materials read/engaged (annotated) and materials identified to be read/engaged. In essence, the shape of the proposal should be something like this: “Because I wish to do X for my thesis/project, I need to do (or have been doing) Y reading and want to do Z critique or analysis for my qualifying exam.” “Z” is the content or topic the student proposes will be the most necessary exercise to “qualify” them to write a strong thesis/project proposal and proceed to write/do it. Sometimes this may be learning a new area of theory or methodology; other times it may be diving deeper into frameworks or perspectives already somewhat familiar. It might be learning about new material, bodies of art, or fields of activity; or it might be focusing or casting wider from material already familiar. The exam may well engage with some areas of the Thesis/Project in detail rather than addressing the big picture. In some cases, the qualifying exam may integrate artistic work. The goal of the Qualifying Exam is for the student to feel ready, and to be deemed ready by his/her committee, to write the Thesis/Project Proposal and to proceed to do the thesis/project; that is to say, the Qualifying Exam is not a rough draft of the Thesis/Project Proposal, but rather groundwork for it.

The committee meets with the student in late summer or early fall to discuss the Qualifying Exam Proposal and make suggestions for preparation during the time remaining before the exam. (The principle is to leave at least two months between this meeting and the exam itself.) Together the committee and student discuss the theory, methods, and content that the student will need to master for the thesis or project, check areas needing attention, and suggest scholarship and other work the student should read or engage with for the exam. In the case of a Research-Creation or curatorial project, they decide on whether an artistic production component will be part of the exam. Also at this time, all parties agree on dates for the exam to be written.

**Qualifying Exam:**
At least ten working days before the agreed-upon start time for the examination, the student submits to the committee via email the final version of an annotated bibliography of at least 30 items (some of which will likely be new since the first draft, reflecting priorities agreed upon at the meeting about the Exam Proposal), and two or three suggested questions they are prepared to answer for the examination. The committee then crafts a question or questions for the exam, which they send to the student on the date mutually agreed upon. The general expectation is that the student will write 5000 to 7000 words, not including footnotes. If the examination includes artistic work, the committee will adjust the length of the written examination accordingly; that is, the production component is not to be considered over and
above the written component. The student will have ten working days to complete the exam (though accommodations can be made in advance for a longer period if work or other responsibilities intervene). The student will distribute their answer to all committee members. Within ten working days of the deadline for the exam answer, each examiner will submit a report to the Graduate Chair. The Graduate Chair determines the decision based on a majority of the three evaluations; notifies the student, drawing from the examiners’ reports to compile feedback for the student; and forwards all copies of examiner reports to the Program Administrator. If the exam is deemed a “pass,” the supervisor fills out and submits a form to the Program Administrator. If the student receives a "Revisions required," they have 10 working days to complete the revisions and resubmit, and the same process is followed as above. If the student fails the exam, the student will have one chance to re-write it within the next six months, with the same process followed as above.

Following the Qualifying Exam (that is, by January of Year 2 if possible), the student turns their attention to the thesis/project proposal and undergraduate syllabus in the area of their specialization.

**Thesis Proposal:**
The format of this proposal may vary, and is determined in consultation with the supervisor and/or committee, but the general expectation is for a document of 30-40 pages (accompanied by a bibliography which needs to be annotated) that makes clear the theoretical, methodological, and substantive elements and structure of the dissertation/project. Students are required to show the relation of the research to the program's objectives, available faculty expertise, and to the relevant academic literature. All proposals will include a timeline. Projects that require expenditures will include a budget. Well before the proposal defense, students who require or may require ethics clearance do the initial CORE training online and consult with their supervisor and possibly the Unit REB about the appropriate timing for full GREB application.

**Research Creation and Curatorial projects (“Project Option“) Proposals:**
In consultation with the supervisory committee, a Research-Creation or Curatorial Project proposal may integrate artistic production or a curatorial project (see the Guidelines for Research-Creation for more information). If it does, the ratio between the production or project and the written component will be discussed and determined by the committee and the length of the written component will be adjusted accordingly: the production component or curatorial project is not to be considered over and above the written component. All “project option” students will describe how they will document their work, and how they conceive the relationship between the project and the written component. Students whose work will involve community collaborators must show that they have identified and communicated with appropriate participants, and they must justify their choice of participants given the theoretical, political, methodological, and practical contexts of their thesis or project.

**Syllabus**
Alongside the preparation of the proposal in Year Two, the student consults the supervisory committee to set the topic, contents, and instructional setting for the development of a Syllabus in their research area for an Undergraduate course. The syllabus will allow students to practice the transfer of research knowledge to an Undergraduate educational setting. Effort will be made to enable students in years 3-4 to teach the courses they design.

The Syllabus is evaluated according to the following criteria:

- the relevance of its topic to the student’s course of study and research programme
- the level of topical knowledge demonstrated by the course design
- the appropriateness of the course content, pedagogy, and learning outcomes for the target audience and level of instruction.

The syllabus must include a narrative rationale addressing each of these factors to the examining committee. The committee may pass the syllabus, or if revisions are requested, the syllabus must be revised and resubmitted within two weeks of its first evaluation. The syllabus should be approved before the end of the second year of study. Students are welcome to register in a term-long instructional development course SGS 902.

Proposal Defense

Before the end of Year 2, as the thesis or project proposal is approaching completion, the Supervisor schedules the proposal defense and finds a Chair for it (normally the SCCS Graduate Chair; this person conducts the meeting but does not play a role in the evaluation of the proposal). Ten working days prior to the scheduled defense, the student distributes the proposal to all committee members. The defense focuses on the relevant theoretical, methodological and substantive areas germane to the student’s program. The committee assesses the student’s understanding of the discipline, the viability, scope and coherence of the proposal, and the preparedness of the candidate to undertake the proposed thesis or project and offers suggestions for refinements or changes as appropriate. Also, at the defense, committee members will assess the timeline and (if applicable) budget, and each committee member will clarify what they understand their consultative role to be going forward. Some discussion of plans for the student to share their work in progress (at conferences, exhibitions, etc.) would also be appropriate at this time. If the proposal is deemed insufficient, the student will have one opportunity to re-write the proposal and defend the revision within the next six months.

General procedures concerning the doctoral dissertation required of all candidates for the Ph.D. are defined in the Graduate Calendar of the University. Supervisors will advise on matters of scope, methodology, originality, and structure. For Research-Creation students, see The Research Creation Document. Students must submit a final version of their project/thesis five weeks before its required formal defense.
Dissertation:

The dissertation may be designed in one of three formats: Monograph, Manuscript, or Portfolio.

- A Monograph dissertation is a singular text modeled on the traditional book-length dissertation.

- A Manuscript dissertation consists of a minimum of three independent essays (published, or determined by the dissertation committee to be publishable) which are set within a larger document that includes introductory and concluding chapters.
  - A literature review spanning the range of literature cited in the independent essays must appear either in the introductory chapter or as a separate chapter.

- A Portfolio dissertation consists of multiple components of scholarship based in analytical writing, applied writing, and/or research creation (to be determined by the student and dissertation committee) and presented alongside introductory and concluding writing.
  - A literature review spanning the range of literature cited in the Portfolio components must appear either in the introductory writing or as a separate document.

Dissertations in the SCCS program adhere to Queen’s School of Graduate Studies guidelines for each dissertation format. Students should refer to SGS guidelines for more detailed information.

PhD Candidacy and Doctoral Research:

After successfully completing all coursework and the Syllabus, Research Proposal and Proposal Defense, students are advanced to candidacy for the PhD (ABD, All But Dissertation) and begin doctoral research.

During years three and four, students conduct original research and prepare written components and creative projects of the dissertation under the guidance of the supervisor.

After the student advances to candidacy and before a completed dissertation is submitted, the student in consultation with the Supervisor may begin to plan the composition of the Dissertation Examining Committee. Normally the Supervisory Committee members continue
onto this committee, although they may withdraw or the student and Supervisor may choose to ask additional faculty members to serve.

In final form, the Dissertation Examining Committee consists of the Supervisor, two SCCS faculty members, an Internal/External Examiner (from Queen’s University but outside of SCCS) and an External Examiner (from outside of Queen’s University).