

Oleg Bogoyavlenskij\*

# Exact force-free plasma equilibria with axial and with translational symmetries

<https://doi.org/10.1515/zna-2020-0103>

Received April 12, 2020; accepted June 12, 2020; published online August 06, 2020

**Abstract:** Exact force-free plasma equilibria satisfying the nonlinear Beltrami equation are derived. The construction is based on a nonlinear transformation that allows to get from any solution to the linear Beltrami equation a one-parametric family of exact solutions to the nonlinear one. Exact force-free plasma equilibria connected with the Sine-Gordon equation are presented.

**Keywords:** force-free plasma equilibria; Grad–Shafranov equation; non-linear Beltrami equation; Sine–Gordon equation.

## 1 Introduction

Equations of the ideal plasma equilibria have the form [1–3]:

$$(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})) \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) = \mu \nabla P(\mathbf{x}), \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

Magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})$  for a force-free plasma equilibrium with pressure  $P(\mathbf{x}) = \text{const}$  satisfies the Beltrami equation

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\nabla \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})$  is the electric current and  $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$  is a differentiable function of the coordinate vector  $\mathbf{x}$ . Equation (1.2) with  $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \neq \text{const}$  is nonlinear because function  $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$  depends on the vector field  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})$ . Indeed, the well-known equation  $\nabla \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  follows from Equation (1.2) and means that function  $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$  is constant along the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})$  lines, see Chapter 1 of monograph [3]. Hence for a general case function  $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$  is constant on magnetic surfaces  $\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \text{const}$  ( $\psi(\mathbf{x})$  is the magnetic function).

Another relation connecting  $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})$  is

$$\nabla \cdot [\nabla \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})] = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Equation (1.3) means that vector field  $\nabla \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})$  (that is also tangent to the magnetic surfaces  $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = C = \text{const}$ ) is

divergence free. Equation (1.3) follows from Equations (1.2),  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  and identity

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}) = (\nabla \times \mathbf{X}) \cdot \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{Y}),$$

where  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  are arbitrary smooth vector fields.

There are well-known exact solutions to Equation (1.2) with  $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha = \text{const}$ , for example the spheromak magnetic field [1, 2]. The general solutions to the linear Beltrami equation with  $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \text{const}$  were presented in terms of Bessel and Legendre functions in papers [1, 2, 4] and later analysed in detail in Refs. [3, 5–8]. We proved in Refs. [9–11] that any solution to the linear Beltrami Equation (1.2) with  $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha = \text{const}$  has the form

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{S^2} [\sin(\alpha \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{k}) + \cos(\alpha \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{k})] d\sigma, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{k})$  is an arbitrary smooth vector field tangent to the unit sphere  $S^2$ :  $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k} = 1$  and  $d\sigma$  is an arbitrary measure on  $S^2$ . We presented in papers [9–11] the unsteady generalizations of exact solutions Equation (1.4) as exact solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations and to the viscous magneto-hydrodynamics equations.

The term “spheromak” was first introduced in Ref. [12], see review [13]. Moduli spaces of vortex knots for the spheromak Beltrami field in different invariant domains were presented in Ref. [14], and for another Beltrami field in Ref. [15]. The vortex knots for non-Beltrami fluid flows were studied in Refs. [16–18].

In section 2 we introduce a transformations  $T_\beta$  of the axially symmetric plasma equilibria and show that transformations  $T_\beta$  satisfy equation  $T_\gamma T_\beta = T_{\beta+\gamma}$  and hence for  $\beta \geq 0$  form a Lie semi-group. In section 3 we construct using transformations  $T_\beta$  an abundance of exact force-free plasma equilibria satisfying the nonlinear Beltrami Equation (1.2) with  $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \neq \text{const}$ .

For all previously known force-free plasma equilibria (Equation 1.2) with  $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha = \text{const}$  the relation  $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})$  holds. Therefore the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})$  and electric current  $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})$  vanish at the same isolated points. For the equilibria constructed in this paper magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})$  also vanishes at some isolated points  $\mathbf{x}$  but the electric field  $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})$  vanishes additionally on the entire magnetic surfaces defined by equation  $\bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  where  $\bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x})$  is the magnetic

\*Corresponding author: Oleg Bogoyavlenskij, Department of Mathematics, Queen’s University, Kingston, K7L3N6, Canada, E-mail: Bogoyavl@mast.queensu.ca

function. This follows from the fact that the new force-free plasma equilibria satisfy equation

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\pm \alpha^2 \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x})}{\sqrt{\beta + \alpha^2 \bar{\psi}^2(\mathbf{x})}} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta > 0$  are arbitrary constants. Equation (1.5) yields that for the constructed equilibria electric current  $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})$  changes its direction to the opposite when point  $\mathbf{x}$  crosses the magnetic surface  $\bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ . We present in section 3 two examples of axially symmetric force-free plasma equilibria where magnetic surface  $\bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  has infinitely many components in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and therefore the switching of direction of electric current  $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})$  occurs on infinitely many surfaces.

Translationally invariant force-free plasma equilibria are constructed in section 4. We present equilibria based on exact solutions to the nonlinear equation

$$\nabla^2 \psi(x, y) = -m^2 e^{\psi(x, y)} \quad (1.6)$$

and on exact solutions to the Helmholtz equation  $\nabla^2 \psi(x, y) = -\alpha^2 \psi(x, y)$ .

Exact force-free plasma equilibria connected with the elliptic Sine–Gordon equation are constructed in section 5. The solutions are smooth and bounded in the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

As known, equilibria of an ideal incompressible fluid with a constant density  $\rho$  obey the equations equivalent to Equations (1.1). Therefore the presented constructions are equally applicable to the exact axisymmetric ideal fluid equilibria and to the translationally invariant ones.

## 2 A transformation of the axisymmetric plasma equilibria

I. A steady  $z$ -axisymmetric magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}(r, z)$  satisfying equation  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$  has the form

$$\mathbf{B}(r, z) = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial z} \hat{e}_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial r} \hat{e}_z + \frac{1}{r} G(\bar{\psi}) \hat{e}_\varphi, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\bar{\psi}(r, z)$  is the magnetic (or flux) function and  $\hat{e}_r, \hat{e}_z, \hat{e}_\varphi$  are unit vector fields in the directions of the cylindrical coordinates  $r, z, \varphi$ . The corresponding electric current  $\mathbf{J}(r, z) = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}(r, z)$  is

$$\mathbf{J}(r, z) = \frac{dG(\bar{\psi})}{d\bar{\psi}} \left( -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial z} \hat{e}_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial r} \hat{e}_z \right) - \frac{1}{r} \left( \bar{\psi}_{rr} - \frac{1}{r} \bar{\psi}_r + \bar{\psi}_{zz} \right) \hat{e}_\varphi. \quad (2.2)$$

The magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}(r, z)$  Equation (2.1) and electric current  $\mathbf{J}(r, z)$  Equation (2.2) are tangent to the magnetic surfaces  $\bar{\psi}(r, z) = \text{const}$ .

The plasma equilibrium Equations (1.1) for the  $z$ -axisymmetric magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}(r, z)$  Equation (2.1) were reduced in 1958 to the Grad–Shafranov equation [19, 20]:

$$\bar{\psi}_{rr} - \frac{1}{r} \bar{\psi}_r + \bar{\psi}_{zz} = -\mu r^2 \frac{dP(\bar{\psi})}{d\bar{\psi}} - G(\bar{\psi}) \frac{dG(\bar{\psi})}{d\bar{\psi}}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $P(\bar{\psi})$  is the plasma pressure.

II. Substituting into Equation (2.2) the expression of  $\bar{\psi}_{rr} - \frac{1}{r} \bar{\psi}_r + \bar{\psi}_{zz}$  from the Grad–Shafranov Equation (2.3) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}(r, z) = & \frac{dG(\bar{\psi})}{d\bar{\psi}} \left( -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial z} \hat{e}_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial r} \hat{e}_z + \frac{1}{r} G(\bar{\psi}) \hat{e}_\varphi \right) \\ & + \mu r \frac{dP(\bar{\psi})}{d\bar{\psi}} \hat{e}_\varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Using here Equation (2.1) we arrive at equation

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}(r, z) = \frac{dG(\bar{\psi})}{d\bar{\psi}} \mathbf{B}(r, z) + \mu r \frac{dP(\bar{\psi})}{d\bar{\psi}} \hat{e}_\varphi. \quad (2.5)$$

Equation (2.5) yields that for  $P(\bar{\psi}) = \text{const}$  the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}(r, z)$  satisfies the Beltrami equation

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}(r, z) = \frac{dG(\bar{\psi})}{d\bar{\psi}} \mathbf{B}(r, z) \quad (2.6)$$

that has the form of Equation (1.2) with  $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = dG(\bar{\psi})/d\bar{\psi}$ . III. The last term in Equation (2.3) equals to  $-\frac{1}{2} dG^2(\bar{\psi})/d\bar{\psi}$ . It is evidently unchanged after the simple nonlinear transformation

$$T_\beta: G(\bar{\psi}) \rightarrow G_\beta(\bar{\psi}), \quad G_\beta(\bar{\psi}) = \pm \sqrt{\beta + G^2(\bar{\psi})}. \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore the same magnetic function  $\bar{\psi}(r, z)$  satisfies also Equation (2.3) with  $G_\beta(\bar{\psi})$  instead of  $G(\bar{\psi})$ . Substituting  $G_\beta(\bar{\psi}) = \pm \sqrt{\beta + G^2(\bar{\psi})}$  into Equations (2.1) we get a new magnetic field

$$\mathbf{B}_\beta(r, z) = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial z} \hat{e}_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial r} \hat{e}_z \pm \frac{1}{r} \sqrt{\beta + G^2(\bar{\psi})} \hat{e}_\varphi \quad (2.8)$$

for that Equation (2.5) takes the form

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_\beta(r, z) = \pm \frac{G(\bar{\psi})}{\sqrt{\beta + G^2(\bar{\psi})}} \frac{dG(\bar{\psi})}{d\bar{\psi}} \mathbf{B}_\beta(r, z) + \mu r \frac{dP(\bar{\psi})}{d\bar{\psi}} \hat{e}_\varphi. \quad (2.9)$$

Equation (2.9) for  $P(\bar{\psi}) = \text{const}$  becomes the Beltrami equation

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_\beta(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha_\beta(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{B}_\beta(\mathbf{x}), \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\beta(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{dG_\beta(\bar{\psi})}{d\bar{\psi}} = \pm \frac{G(\bar{\psi})}{\sqrt{\beta + G^2(\bar{\psi})}} \frac{dG(\bar{\psi})}{d\bar{\psi}} \\ &= \pm \frac{G(\bar{\psi})}{\sqrt{\beta + G^2(\bar{\psi})}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Hence transformation  $T_\beta$  (Equation (2.7)) produces from any axisymmetric solution to the Beltrami Equation (2.6) a new solution  $\mathbf{B}_\beta(r, z)$  (Equation 2.8) to the Beltrami Equation (2.10) with another function  $\alpha_\beta(\mathbf{x})$  Equation (2.11),  $\beta > 0$ . Equation (2.11) yields

$$(\alpha_\beta(\mathbf{x}))^2 = \frac{G^2(\bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x}))}{\beta + G^2(\bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x}))} (\alpha(\mathbf{x}))^2.$$

Therefore function  $\alpha_\beta(\mathbf{x})$  is changing in the range  $-|\alpha(\mathbf{x})| < \alpha_\beta(\mathbf{x}) < |\alpha(\mathbf{x})|$ .

**Remark 1:** Transformations  $T_\beta$  Equation (2.7) with  $\beta > 0$  define for any solution  $\bar{\psi}(r, z)$  to the general Grad - Shafranov Equation (2.3) a one-parametric family of different magnetic fields  $\mathbf{B}_\beta(r, z)$  Equation (2.1) and electric currents  $\mathbf{J}_\beta(r, z)$  Equation (2.2) which correspond to different functions  $G_\beta(\bar{\psi})$  but have the same magnetic function  $\bar{\psi}(r, z)$ . A useful application of transformations  $T_\beta$  (Equation (2.7)) is the construction of new exact force-free plasma equilibria satisfying the Beltrami equation  $\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_\beta(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha_\beta(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{B}_\beta(\mathbf{x})$  with a non-constant function  $\alpha_\beta(\mathbf{x})$ , see Equations (3.4) and Equation (3.5) below.

**Remark 2:** Transformations  $T_\beta$  (Equation 2.4) with sign + satisfy the relation

$$T_\gamma(T_\beta(G)) = T_{\gamma+\beta}(G). \quad (2.12)$$

Indeed, Equation (2.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} T_\gamma(T_\beta(G)) &= \sqrt{\gamma + \left[ \sqrt{\beta + G^2(\bar{\psi})} \right]^2} = \sqrt{\gamma + \beta + G^2(\bar{\psi})} \\ &= T_{\gamma+\beta}(G). \end{aligned}$$

Hence Equation (2.12) holds. For  $\beta < 0$  transforms (Equation 2.7) are defined only in the domain  $G^2(\bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x})) \geq |\beta|$ . For  $0 \leq \beta < \infty$  transforms (Equation 2.7) are defined everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Evidently  $T_0(G)$  is the identity

transformation. Therefore Equation (2.12) yields that transformations  $T_\beta$  (Equation (2.7)) with sign + and  $0 \leq \beta < \infty$  form a one-dimensional Lie semi-group.

### 3 Exact axisymmetric force-free plasma equilibria

I. Consider the Grad--Shafranov Equation (2.3) with  $P(\bar{\psi}) = \text{const}$  and  $G(\bar{\psi}) = G_\zeta(\bar{\psi}) = \pm \sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \bar{\psi}^2}$  with an arbitrary constant  $\alpha$  and  $\zeta \geq 0$ . Evidently we have  $dG_\zeta(\bar{\psi})/d\bar{\psi} = \alpha^2 \bar{\psi}/G_\zeta(\bar{\psi})$ . Therefore  $G_\zeta(\bar{\psi})dG_\zeta(\bar{\psi})/d\bar{\psi} = \alpha^2 \bar{\psi}$  and hence Equation (2.3) takes the linear form

$$\bar{\psi}_{,rr} - \frac{1}{r}\bar{\psi}_{,r} + \bar{\psi}_{,zz} = -\alpha^2 \bar{\psi}. \quad (3.1)$$

Let  $\mathbf{B}_\zeta(r, z)$  be the corresponding magnetic field (Equation 2.1):

$$\mathbf{B}_\zeta(r, z) = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial z} \hat{e}_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial r} \hat{e}_z + \frac{1}{r} G_\zeta(\bar{\psi}) \hat{e}_\varphi. \quad (3.2)$$

Substituting the Grad--Shafranov Equation (2.3) with  $P(\bar{\psi}) = \text{const}$  and  $G_\zeta(\bar{\psi}) = \pm \sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \bar{\psi}^2}$  into Equation (2.2) we get the electric current

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_\zeta(r, z) &= \nabla \times \mathbf{B}_\zeta(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \frac{dG_\zeta(\bar{\psi})}{d\bar{\psi}} \left( -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial z} \hat{e}_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial r} \hat{e}_z + \frac{1}{r} G_\zeta(\bar{\psi}) \hat{e}_\varphi \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Inserting Equation (3.2) into Equation (3.3) we find

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{dG_\zeta(\bar{\psi})}{d\bar{\psi}} \mathbf{B}_\zeta(r, z). \quad (3.4)$$

Equation (3.4) is the Beltrami Equation (1.2) with the non-constant function

$$\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha_\zeta(r, z) = \frac{dG_\zeta(\bar{\psi})}{d\bar{\psi}} = \pm \frac{\alpha^2 \bar{\psi}(r, z)}{\sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \bar{\psi}^2}(r, z)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Therefore for any solution  $\bar{\psi}(r, z)$  to the linear Equation (3.1) we constructed the force-free magnetic fields  $\mathbf{B}_\zeta(r, z)$  (3.2) and electric currents  $\mathbf{J}_\zeta(r, z)$  (3.3) satisfying the Beltrami Equation (1.2) with non-constant function  $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$  (Equation 3.5). Only for  $\zeta=0$  function  $dG_0(\bar{\psi})/d\bar{\psi} = \pm \alpha$  becomes constant.

For function  $\alpha_\zeta(r, z)$  (Equation 3.5) we have (for  $\zeta > 0$ )

$$(\alpha_\zeta(r, z))^2 = \alpha^2 \frac{\alpha^2 \bar{\psi}^2}{\zeta + \alpha^2 \bar{\psi}^2} < \alpha^2.$$

Hence function  $\alpha_\zeta(r, z)$  (Equation 3.5) satisfies inequalities  $-|\alpha| < \alpha_\zeta(r, z) < |\alpha|$  and  $\alpha_\zeta(r, z) = 0$  at the points  $(r, z)$  where  $\bar{\psi}(r, z) = 0$ .

**Example 1:** The magnetic function  $\bar{\psi}(r, z)$  for the spheromak plasma equilibrium [1, 2] satisfies the linear Equation (3.1) and has the form

$$\psi_2(r, z) = -r^2 G_2(\alpha R) = -\frac{r^2}{\alpha^2 R^2} \left[ \cos(\alpha R) - \frac{\sin(\alpha R)}{\alpha R} \right]. \quad (3.6)$$

Here  $R = \sqrt{r^2 + z^2}$  is the spherical radius in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The corresponding to the solution (Equation 3.6) magnetic fields (Equation 3.2)

$$\mathbf{B}_{\zeta,2}(r, z) = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial z} \hat{e}_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial r} \hat{e}_z \pm \frac{1}{r} \sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \psi_2^2} \hat{e}_\varphi \quad (3.7)$$

with any  $0 \leq \zeta < \infty$  satisfy the nonlinear Beltrami Equation (1.2) with the non-constant functions  $\alpha(r, z)$ :

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_{\zeta,2}(r, z) = \frac{\pm \alpha^2 \psi_2(r, z)}{\sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \psi_2^2(r, z)}} \mathbf{B}_{\zeta,2}(r, z). \quad (3.8)$$

Equation (3.8) yields that for the new force-free plasma equilibria (Equation 3.7) the electric current

$$\mathbf{J}_{\zeta,2}(r, z) = \frac{\pm \alpha^2 \psi_2(r, z)}{\sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \psi_2^2(r, z)}} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial z} \hat{e}_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial r} \hat{e}_z \right) + \frac{1}{r} \alpha^2 \psi_2(r, z) \hat{e}_\varphi \quad (3.9)$$

vanishes on the magnetic surface  $\psi_2(r, z) = 0$ . Equation (3.6) implies that the latter has infinitely many components that all are spheres  $S_k^2: R = R_k$  where  $R_k$  are the roots of equation

$$\tan(\alpha R) = \alpha R. \quad (3.10)$$

The first four numerical solutions to Equation (3.10) are

$$|\alpha|R_1 \approx 4.4934, \quad |\alpha|R_2 \approx 7.7253, \quad |\alpha|R_3 \approx 10.9041, \\ |\alpha|R_4 \approx 14.0662.$$

At  $k \rightarrow \infty$  the roots  $R_k$  have asymptotics  $|\alpha|R_k \approx \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)\pi$ .

The electric current  $\mathbf{J}_{\zeta,2}(r, z)$  (Equation 3.9) switches its direction to the opposite at the infinitely many spheres  $S_k^2$ . Equation (3.9) yields that electric current  $\mathbf{J}_{\zeta,2}(r, z)$  is smooth everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and has zero current density on the axis  $z$  ( $r = 0$ ).

Function  $G_2(u)$  in Equation (3.6),  $G_2(u) = u^{-2}(\cos u - u^{-1}\sin u)$  where  $u = \alpha R$ , is connected with the Bessel function  $J_{3/2}(u)$  [21] of order  $3/2$  by the relation

$$G_2(u) = -\frac{\sqrt{\pi/2}}{u^{3/2}} J_{3/2}(u).$$

**Example 2:** Equation (3.1) is evidently invariant with respect to the differentiations  $(\partial/\partial z)^n$  of arbitrary order  $n$ . Hence the flux functions  $\psi_{2+n}(r, z) = \partial^n \psi_2 / \partial z^n$  also are solutions to Equation (3.1). For example we have

$$\psi_3(r, z) = \frac{\partial \psi_2(r, z)}{\partial z} = -r^2 \frac{dG_2(u)}{du} \frac{du}{dz} = -\alpha^2 z r^2 G_3(u), \quad (3.11)$$

$$\psi_4(r, z) = \frac{\partial^2 \psi_2(r, z)}{\partial z^2} = -\alpha^2 r^2 G_3(u) - \alpha^4 z^2 r^2 G_4(u), \quad (3.12)$$

where  $u = \alpha R$  and

$$G_3(u) = \frac{1}{u} \frac{dG_2(u)}{du} = \frac{1}{u^4} \left( (3 - u^2) \frac{\sin u}{u} - 3 \cos u \right), \quad (3.13)$$

$$G_4(u) = \frac{1}{u} \frac{dG_3(u)}{du} = \frac{1}{u^6} \left( (6u^2 - 15) \frac{\sin u}{u} - (u^2 - 15) \cos u \right). \quad (3.14)$$

The functions  $G_k(u)$  are analytic for all  $u$  and have the following values at  $u = 0$ :  $G_2(0) = -1/3$ ,  $G_3(0) = 1/15$ ,  $G_4(0) = -1/105$  [15].

Magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}_{\zeta,3}(r, z)$  has the form

$$\mathbf{B}_{\zeta,3}(r, z) = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi_3}{\partial z} \hat{e}_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi_3}{\partial r} \hat{e}_z \pm \frac{1}{r} \sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \psi_3^2} \hat{e}_\varphi$$

and satisfies the nonlinear Beltrami equation

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_{\zeta,3}(r, z) = \frac{\pm \alpha^2 \psi_3(r, z)}{\sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \psi_3^2(r, z)}} \mathbf{B}_{\zeta,3}(r, z).$$

The electric current

$$\mathbf{J}_{\zeta,3}(r, z) = \frac{\pm \alpha^2 \psi_3(r, z)}{\sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \psi_3^2(r, z)}} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi_3}{\partial z} \hat{e}_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi_3}{\partial r} \hat{e}_z \right) + \frac{1}{r} \alpha^2 \psi_3(r, z) \hat{e}_\varphi \quad (3.15)$$

vanishes on the magnetic surface  $\psi_3(r, z) = 0$  that according to Equation (3.11) contains the plane  $z = 0$  and infinitely many spheres  $S_m^2: R = R_m$  where  $R_m$  satisfy equation  $G_3(\alpha R) = 0$ :

$$\tan(\alpha R) = \frac{3\alpha R}{3 - (\alpha R)^2}. \quad (3.16)$$

The electric current  $\mathbf{J}_{\zeta,3}(r, z)$  (Equation 3.15) switches its direction to the opposite at the plane  $z = 0$  and at infinitely many spheres  $S_m^2$ . Equation (3.15) demonstrates that electric current  $\mathbf{J}_{\zeta,3}(r, z)$  is smooth everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and has zero current density on the axis  $z (r = 0)$ .

The first four numerical solutions to Equation (3.16) are

$$|\alpha|R_1 \approx 5.7635, \quad |\alpha|R_2 \approx 9.0950, \quad |\alpha|R_3 \approx 12.3229, \\ |\alpha|R_4 \approx 15.5146.$$

At  $m \rightarrow \infty$  the roots  $R_m$  have asymptotics  $|\alpha|R_m \approx (m+1)\pi$ .

II. Analogous construction exists for the magnetic function  $\psi_4(r, z)$  (Equation 3.12). The corresponding electric current  $\mathbf{J}_{\zeta,4}(r, z) = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}_{\zeta,4}(r, z)$  vanishes on the magnetic surface  $\psi_4(r, z) = 0$  that according to Equations (3.12) and (3.14) satisfies equation

$$G_3(\alpha R) + \alpha^2 z^2 G_4(\alpha R) = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Equation (3.17) yields that the surface intersects the plane  $z = 0$  at infinitely many circles  $S_m^1: z = 0, R = R_m$  where  $R_m$  are roots of equation  $G_3(\alpha R) = 0$  (Equation 3.16). Therefore the magnetic surface  $\psi_4(r, z) = 0$  (Equation 3.17) has infinitely many components that are not spheres but are  $z$ -axially symmetric.

The linearity of Equation (3.1) yields that any linear combination

$$\psi_N(r, z) = a_0 \psi_2(r, z) + a_1 \frac{\partial \psi_2(r, z)}{\partial z} + \dots + a_N \frac{\partial^N \psi_2(r, z)}{\partial z^N}$$

obeys Equation (3.1). Let us consider the corresponding magnetic fields (Equation 3.2):

$$\mathbf{B}_{\zeta,N}(r, z) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi_N}{\partial z} \hat{e}_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi_N}{\partial r} \hat{e}_z \pm \frac{1}{r} \sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \psi_N^2} \hat{e}_\phi \quad (3.18)$$

with  $0 \leq \zeta < \infty$ . Equation (3.4) yields that the magnetic fields  $\mathbf{B}_{\zeta,N}(r, z)$  (Equation 3.18) satisfy the nonlinear Beltrami Equation (1.2):

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_{\zeta,N}(r, z) = \frac{\pm \alpha^2 \psi_N(r, z)}{\sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \psi_N^2(r, z)}} \mathbf{B}_{\zeta,N}(r, z).$$

The electric currents

$$\mathbf{J}_{\zeta,N}(r, z) = \frac{\pm \alpha^2 \psi_N(r, z)}{\sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \psi_N^2(r, z)}} \left( -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi_N}{\partial z} \hat{e}_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi_N}{\partial r} \hat{e}_z \right) \\ + \frac{1}{r} \alpha^2 \psi_N(r, z) \hat{e}_\phi$$

vanish on magnetic surfaces  $\psi_N(r, z) = 0$  that have infinitely many non-spherical axisymmetric components. The current density vanishes on the axis  $z (r = 0)$ .

## 4 Exact translationally invariant force-free plasma equilibria

I. In the Cartesian coordinates  $x, y, z$ , the  $z$ -independent magnetic fields  $\mathbf{B}(x, y)$  satisfying the equilibrium Equations (1.1) have the form

$$\mathbf{B}(x, y) = -\psi_y \hat{e}_x + \psi_x \hat{e}_y + G(\psi) \hat{e}_z, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\psi = \psi(x, y)$  is the magnetic function,  $G(\psi)$  is an arbitrary differentiable function of  $\psi$  and  $\hat{e}_x, \hat{e}_y, \hat{e}_z$  are unit vectors in directions of coordinates  $x, y, z$ .

The electric current  $\mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$  takes the form

$$\mathbf{J} = \frac{dG(\psi)}{d\psi} \psi_y \hat{e}_x - \frac{dG(\psi)}{d\psi} \psi_x \hat{e}_y + (\nabla^2 \psi) \hat{e}_z, \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\nabla^2 \psi = \psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy}$ . Hence we get

$$\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} = - \left[ \nabla^2 \psi + G(\psi) \frac{dG(\psi)}{d\psi} \right] \psi_x \hat{e}_x \\ - \left[ \nabla^2 \psi + G(\psi) \frac{dG(\psi)}{d\psi} \right] \psi_y \hat{e}_y. \quad (4.3)$$

Therefore the translationally invariant plasma equilibrium Equations (1.1) take the form

$$\nabla^2 \psi = -\mu \frac{dP(\psi)}{d\psi} - G(\psi) \frac{dG(\psi)}{d\psi}, \quad (4.4)$$

where pressure  $P = P(\psi)$  is an arbitrary differentiable function of  $\psi$ .

Substituting Equation (4.4) into Equation (4.2) we find

$$\mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{dG(\psi)}{d\psi} [\psi_y \hat{e}_x - \psi_x \hat{e}_y - G(\psi) \hat{e}_z] \\ - \mu \frac{dP(\psi)}{d\psi} \hat{e}_z. \quad (4.5)$$

From Equations (4.1) and (4.5) we derive

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = -\frac{dG(\psi)}{d\psi} \mathbf{B} - \mu \frac{dP(\psi)}{d\psi} \hat{e}_z. \quad (4.6)$$

Hence for the  $z$ -independent force-free plasma equilibria with  $P(\psi) = \text{const}$  magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  satisfies Beltrami equation:  $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{B}$  with function  $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = -dG(\psi)/d\psi$ .

**Remark 3:** Transformations  $T_\beta$  (Equation 2.7) with  $\beta > 0$  turn any  $z$ -independent plasma equilibria with  $P(\psi) = \text{const}$  which satisfy Equation (4.6):  $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{B}$  with  $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = -dG(\psi)/d\psi$  into another solutions  $\mathbf{B}_\beta(\mathbf{x})$  to the Beltrami equation  $\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_\beta = \alpha_\beta(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{B}_\beta$  where

$$\alpha_\beta(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{dG_\beta(\psi)}{d\psi} = \mp \frac{G(\psi)}{\sqrt{\beta + G^2(\psi)}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}).$$

II. Consider magnetic field

$$\mathbf{B}(x, y) = -\psi_y \hat{e}_x + \psi_x \hat{e}_y + \sqrt{2} m e^{\psi/2} \hat{e}_z, \quad (4.7)$$

with exponential function  $G(\psi) = \sqrt{2} m e^{\psi/2}$ . Applying transformation  $T_\beta$  (Equation 2.7) we get magnetic fields

$$\mathbf{B}_\beta(x, y) = -\psi_y \hat{e}_x + \psi_x \hat{e}_y \pm \sqrt{\beta + 2m^2 e^{\psi}} \hat{e}_z \quad (4.8)$$

with function  $G_\beta(\psi) = \pm \sqrt{\beta + 2m^2 e^{\psi}}$ . Beltrami Equation (4.6) with  $P(\psi) = \text{const}$  for the field (Equation 4.8) becomes

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_\beta = \mp \frac{m^2 e^{\psi}}{\sqrt{\beta + 2m^2 e^{\psi}}} \mathbf{B}_\beta. \quad (4.9)$$

For the both magnetic fields Equations (4.7) and (4.8) Equation (4.4) with  $P(\psi) = \text{const}$  has the form

$$\nabla^2 \psi = -m^2 e^{\psi}. \quad (4.10)$$

III. Exact solutions to the nonlinear Equation (4.10) were first derived by Vekua [22]. Vekua's method consists of the following. Let  $x + iy$  be a complex variable and  $f(x + iy) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$  be any analytic function of  $x + iy$ . Then the Cauchy–Riemann equations  $u_x = v_y$ ,  $u_y = -v_x$  hold. Let function  $\psi(x, y)$  has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, y) &= \log \left[ \frac{8}{m^2} (u_x^2 + u_y^2) \right] \\ -2 \log(1 + u^2 + v^2) &= \log \left[ \frac{8|f'|^2}{m^2(1 + |f|^2)^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Since  $f' = df(x + iy)/d(x + iy) = u_x + iv_x = u_x - iv_y$ , we get  $\log|f'|^2 = \log(u_x^2 + u_y^2)$ . Since  $f'$  also is an analytic function we have  $\nabla^2 \log|f'|^2 = 2\nabla^2 \log|f'| = 0$ . Hence  $\nabla^2 \log(u_x^2 + u_y^2) = 0$ . Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla^2 \psi &= 2\nabla^2 \log(1 + u^2 + v^2) \\ &= 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{uu_x + vv_x}{1 + u^2 + v^2} \right] + 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{uu_y + vv_y}{1 + u^2 + v^2} \right] \\ &= -8 \frac{(uu_x + vv_x)^2 + (uu_y + vv_y)^2}{(1 + u^2 + v^2)^2} \\ &\quad + 4 \frac{u\nabla^2 u + v\nabla^2 v + u_x^2 + u_y^2 + v_x^2 + v_y^2}{1 + u^2 + v^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using here equations  $\nabla^2 u = 0$ ,  $\nabla^2 v = 0$  and the Cauchy–Riemann equations we find

$$\nabla^2 \psi = \frac{8(u_x^2 + u_y^2)}{(1 + u^2 + v^2)^2}. \quad (4.12)$$

Equation (4.11) yields

$$e^{\psi} = \frac{8(u_x^2 + u_y^2)}{m^2(1 + u^2 + v^2)^2}. \quad (4.13)$$

Equations (4.12) and (4.13) imply that for arbitrary analytic functions  $f(x + iy)$  functions  $\psi(x, y)$  (Equation 4.11) satisfy Equation (4.10).

IV. Consider analytic function  $f(x + iy) = a(x + iy)^k$  where  $a = \text{const}$  and  $k \geq 1$  is an integer. Then  $f' = ka(x + iy)^{k-1}$  and function (Equation 4.11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k(x, y) &= \log \left[ \frac{8|a|^2 k^2}{m^2} (x^2 + y^2)^{k-1} \right] \\ &\quad - 2 \log(1 + |a|^2 (x^2 + y^2)^k), \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

For  $k \geq 2$  function  $\psi(x, y)$  (Equation 4.14) has singularity (tends to  $-\infty$ ) at  $x = 0$ ,  $y = 0$ . For  $k = 1$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(x, y) &= \log \left[ \frac{8|a|^2}{m^2} \right] - 2 \log(1 + |a|^2 (x^2 + y^2)), \\ e^{\psi_1} &= \frac{8|a|^2}{m^2(1 + |a|^2 (x^2 + y^2))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Function  $\psi_1(x, y)$  is smooth everywhere. The corresponding force-free magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}_{\beta,1}(x, y)$  (Equation 4.8) is a generalization of the Bennett pinch solution [23, 24]. It satisfies Equation (4.9) that takes the form

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_{\beta,1} = \mp \frac{8|a|^2}{(1 + |a|^2 (x^2 + y^2)) \sqrt{\beta(1 + |a|^2 (x^2 + y^2)) + 16|a|^2}} \mathbf{B}_{\beta,1}.$$

V. Consider analytic function  $f(x + iy) = e^{\alpha(x + iy)}$  where  $\alpha$  is real. Hence  $|f(x + iy)| = e^{\alpha x}$  and function  $\psi(x, y)$  (Equation 4.11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, y) &= \log \left[ \frac{8\alpha^2}{m^2} e^{2\alpha x} \right] - 2 \log(1 + e^{2\alpha x}), \\ e^{\psi} &= \frac{8\alpha^2 e^{2\alpha x}}{m^2(1 + e^{2\alpha x})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence Equation (4.9) for the corresponding force-free magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}_{\beta,\alpha}(x, y)$  (Equation 4.8) takes the form

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_{\beta,\alpha} = \mp \frac{8\alpha^2 e^{2\alpha x}}{(1 + e^{2\alpha x}) \sqrt{\beta(1 + e^{2\alpha x})^2 + 16\alpha^2 e^{2\alpha x}}} \mathbf{B}_{\beta,\alpha}.$$

VI. Let us consider functions

$$G_\zeta(\psi) = \pm \sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \psi^2}, \quad (4.15)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\zeta > 0$  are arbitrary parameters. We have  $G_\zeta(\psi)$   $dG_\zeta(\psi)/d\psi = \alpha^2\psi$ . Hence Equation (4.4) with  $P(\psi) = \text{const}$  takes the linear form

$$\psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy} = -\alpha^2\psi. \quad (4.16)$$

The two-dimensional Helmholtz Equation (4.16) evidently has exact solutions

$$\psi(x, y, \theta) = f(\theta) \sin(\alpha x \cos \theta + \alpha y \sin \theta), \quad (4.17)$$

where  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$  and  $f(\theta)$  is any piece-wise continuous function of angle  $\theta$ .

Any finite sum of functions (Equation 4.17) is an exact solution to the linear Equation (4.16):

$$\psi_N(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^N C_k \sin(\alpha x \cos \theta_k + \alpha y \sin \theta_k),$$

where  $C_k, \theta_k$  are arbitrary constants. For the corresponding magnetic fields Equation (4.1), Equation (4.15) with  $\zeta > 0$

$$\mathbf{B}_{\zeta,N}(x, y) = -(\psi_N)_y \hat{e}_x + (\psi_N)_x \hat{e}_y \pm \sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \psi_N^2} \hat{e}_z,$$

Equation (4.6) with  $P(\psi) = \text{const}$  takes the form

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_{\zeta,N}(x, y) = \mp \frac{\alpha^2 \psi_N(x, y)}{\sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \psi_N^2(x, y)}} \mathbf{B}_{\zeta,N}(x, y). \quad (4.18)$$

Equation (4.18) is the Beltrami Equation (1.2) with the non-constant function

$$\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha_{\zeta,N}(x, y) = \mp \frac{\alpha^2 \psi_N(x, y)}{\sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \psi_N^2(x, y)}}.$$

VII. Integrating functions  $\psi(x, y, \theta)$  (Equation 4.17) with respect to the angle  $\theta$  and using the linearity of Equation (4.16) we derive the general exact solution to the Helmholtz Equation (4.16):

$$\hat{\psi}(x, y) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) \sin(\alpha x \cos \theta + \alpha y \sin \theta) d\theta. \quad (4.19)$$

The corresponding magnetic fields Equation (4.1), Equation (4.15) with  $\zeta > 0$  have the form

$$\hat{B}_\zeta(x, y) = -\hat{\psi}_y \hat{e}_x + \hat{\psi}_x \hat{e}_y \pm \sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \hat{\psi}^2} \hat{e}_z. \quad (4.20)$$

Equation (4.6) with  $P(\hat{\psi}) = \text{const}$  and  $G(\psi) = G_\zeta(\hat{\psi}) = \pm \sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \hat{\psi}^2}$  with  $\zeta > 0$  takes the form

$$\nabla \times \hat{B}_\zeta(x, y) = \mp \frac{\alpha^2 \hat{\psi}(x, y)}{\sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \hat{\psi}^2(x, y)}} \hat{B}_\zeta(x, y). \quad (4.21)$$

Equation (4.21) is the Beltrami Equation (1.2) with non-constant function

$$\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\alpha}_\zeta(x, y) = \mp \frac{\alpha^2 \hat{\psi}(x, y)}{\sqrt{\zeta + \alpha^2 \hat{\psi}^2(x, y)}}.$$

Thus Equations (4.19), (4.20) provide an abundance of exact solutions to the nonlinear Beltrami Equation (1.2), (4.21). The exact solutions (Equations 4.19, 4.20) for  $\zeta > 0$  are bounded for all  $x, y$ . It is evident that  $-|\alpha| < \hat{\alpha}_\zeta(x, y) < |\alpha|$ .

## 5 Plasma equilibria connected with the Sine–Gordon equation

Let us consider a trigonometric function  $G(\psi) = A \sin[\alpha(\psi + \gamma)]$  where  $A, \alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are arbitrary constants. We get

$$\begin{aligned} G(\psi) \frac{dG(\psi)}{d\psi} &= \alpha A^2 \sin[\alpha(\psi + \gamma)] \cos[\alpha(\psi + \gamma)] \\ &= \frac{\alpha A^2}{2} \sin[2\alpha(\psi + \gamma)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore Equation (4.4) with  $P(\psi) = \text{const}$  takes the form

$$\psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy} = -\frac{\alpha A^2}{2} \sin[2\alpha(\psi + \gamma)], \quad (5.1)$$

that coincides with the elliptic Sine-Gordon equation. The Beltrami Equation (4.6) (with  $P(\psi) = \text{const}$ ) is

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = -\frac{dG(\psi)}{d\psi} \mathbf{B} = -\alpha A \cos[\alpha(\psi + \gamma)] \mathbf{B}. \quad (5.2)$$

Hence function  $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$  in the corresponding Beltrami Equation (1.2) is  $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = -\alpha A \cos[\alpha(\psi + \gamma)]$ .

To construct exact solutions to the nonlinear Equation (5.1) we consider equation of first order

$$\psi_x = A \cos[\alpha(\psi + \gamma)]. \quad (5.3)$$

Differentiating Equation (5.3) with respect to  $x$  we get  $\psi_{xx} = -\alpha A^2 \sin[\alpha(\psi + \gamma)] \cos[\alpha(\psi + \gamma)] = -(\alpha A^2/2) \sin[2\alpha(\psi + \gamma)]$ . Hence any solution to Equation (5.3) satisfies Equation (5.1). Integrating Equation (5.3) we find its exact solutions

$$\psi_1(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \arcsin\{\tanh[\alpha A(x + c)]\} - \gamma, \quad (5.4)$$

that satisfy also Equation (5.1). Solutions (Equation 5.4) lead (after rotation of variables  $x, y$  for an angle  $\theta$ ) to the more general solutions to Equation (5.1):

$$\psi_\lambda(x, y) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \arcsin\{\tanh[\alpha A(v + c)]\} - \gamma, \quad v = \lambda x + \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2} y, \quad (5.5)$$

where  $\lambda = \cos \theta$ ,  $\sqrt{1 - \lambda^2} = \sin \theta$ . For functions  $\psi_\lambda(x, y)$

(Equation 5.5) Equation (5.2) with function  $G(\psi_\lambda) = A \sin[\alpha(\psi_\lambda + \gamma)]$  takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \mathbf{B} &= -\alpha A \cos[\alpha(\psi_\lambda + \gamma)] \mathbf{B} \\ &= -\frac{\alpha A}{\cosh[\alpha A(\lambda x + \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2} y + c)]} \mathbf{B}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Equation (5.6) shows that electric current  $\mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$  always has the same direction as vector field  $-\alpha A \mathbf{B}$  and no switching of its direction occurs.

Applying transformations  $T_\beta$  (Equation 2.7) with  $\beta > 0$ :  $G(\psi_\lambda) \rightarrow G_\beta(\psi_\lambda) = \pm \sqrt{\beta + G^2(\psi_\lambda)}$  we get the magnetic fields (Equation 4.1):

$$\mathbf{B}_\beta(x, y) = -(\psi_\lambda)_y \hat{e}_x + (\psi_\lambda)_x \hat{e}_y \pm \sqrt{\beta + A^2 \sin^2[\alpha(\psi_\lambda + \gamma)]} \hat{e}_z. \quad (5.7)$$

Magnetic fields (Equation 5.7) with the exact magnetic functions  $\psi_\lambda(x, y)$  (Equation 5.5) satisfy Beltrami equation

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_\beta = \frac{dG_\beta(\psi_\lambda)}{d\psi_\lambda} \mathbf{B}_\beta = \mp \frac{\alpha A^2 \sin[2\alpha(\psi_\lambda + \gamma)]}{2\sqrt{\beta + A^2 \sin^2[\alpha(\psi_\lambda + \gamma)]}} \mathbf{B}_\beta. \quad (5.8)$$

Using here exact solution (Equation 5.5) we obtain

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_\beta = \mp \frac{\alpha A^2 \sinh[\alpha A(v + c)]}{\cosh^2[\alpha A(v + c)] \sqrt{\beta + A^2 \tanh^2[\alpha A(v + c)]}} \mathbf{B}_\beta. \quad (5.9)$$

Hence electric current  $\mathbf{J}_\beta = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}_\beta$  vanishes on the plane  $v + c = 0$ . The switching of direction of the electric current  $\mathbf{J}_\beta$  occurs when point  $(x, y, z)$  crosses the plane  $v + c = 0$ .

It is evident that Equations (5.7)–(5.9) with  $\beta > 0$  provide new everywhere bounded force-free plasma equilibria satisfying the Beltrami Equation (1.2) with non-constant function  $\alpha_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = -dG_\beta(\psi_\lambda)/d\psi_\lambda$ .

**Acknowledgement:** The author is grateful to the referees for useful remarks.

**Author contribution:** The author has accepted responsibility for the entire content of this submitted manuscript and approved submission.

**Research funding:** None declared.

**Conflict of interest statement:** The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding this article.

## References

- [1] S. Chandrasekhar, “On force-free magnetic fields,” *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 42, pp. 1–5, 1956.

- [2] L. Woltjer, “The Crab Nebula,” *Bull. Astron. Inst. Netherlands*, vol. 14, pp. 39–80, 1958.
- [3] G. E. Marsh, *Force-Free Magnetic Fields. Solutions, Topology and Applications*. Singapore, London, Hong Kong, World Scientific, 1996.
- [4] S. Chandrasekhar, and P. C. Kendall, “On force-free magnetic fields,” *Astrophys. J.*, vol. 126, pp. 457–460, 1957.
- [5] H. P. Furth, M. A. Levine, and R. W. Waniek, “Production and use of high transient magnetic fields,” *Il. Rev. Scient. Instrum.*, vol. 28:11, pp. 949–958, 1957.
- [6] G. E. Marsh, “Axially symmetric solutions to the force-free magnetic field equations in spherical and cylindrical coordinates,” *Phys. Rev.*, vol. A45, no. 10, pp. 7520–7525, 1992.
- [7] J. Cantarella, D. DeTurck, H. Gluck, and M. Teytel, “The spectrum of the curl operator on spherically symmetric domains,” *Phys. Plasmas*, vol. 7, pp. 2766–2775, 2000.
- [8] E. C. Morse, “Eigenfunctions of the curl in cylindrical geometry,” *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 46, no. 1-13, pp. 113511, 2005.
- [9] O. I. Bogoyavlenskij, “Exact solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations,” *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. R. Canada*, vol. 24, pp. 138–143, 2002.
- [10] O. I. Bogoyavlenskij, “Method of symmetry transforms for ideal MHD equilibrium equations,” *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 301, pp. 195–218, 2002.
- [11] O. I. Bogoyavlenskij, “Exact unsteady solutions to the Navier-Stokes and viscous MHD equations,” *Phys. Lett. A*, vol. 307, pp. 281–286, 2003.
- [12] M. N. Rosenbluth, and M. N. Bussac, “MHD stability of spheromak,” *Nuclear Fusion*, vol. 19, pp. 489–498, 1979.
- [13] T. R. Jarboe, “Review of spheromak research,” *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion*, vol. 36, pp. 945–990, 1994.
- [14] O. Bogoyavlenskij, “Vortex knots for the spheromak fluid flow and their moduli spaces,” *J. Math. Anal. Applic.*, vol. 450, pp. 21–47, 2017.
- [15] O. Bogoyavlenskij, “Moduli spaces of vortex knots for an exact fluid flow,” *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 58, 013101-1-28, 2017.
- [16] H. K. Moffatt, “The degree of knottedness of tangled vortex lines,” *J. Fluid Mech.*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 117–129, 1969.
- [17] H. K. Moffatt, *Magnetic Field Generation in Electrically Conducting Fluids*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1978.
- [18] O. Bogoyavlenskij, “Counterexamples to Moffatt’s statements on vortex knots,” *Phys. Rev.*, vol. E95, 043104 (10pp), 2017.
- [19] H. Grad and H. Rubin, “Hydromagnetic equilibria and force-free fields,” in *Proceedings of the Second United Nations International Conf. on the Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy*, vol. 31, Geneva, United Nations, 1958, p. 190.
- [20] V. D. Shafranov, “On magnetohydrodynamical equilibrium configurations,” *Soviet Phys. JETP*, vol. 6, pp. 545–554, 1958.
- [21] G. N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1980.
- [22] I. N. Vekua, “Remarks on properties of solutions of the equation  $\Delta u = -2ke^u$ ,” *Sibirsk. Matem. Z.*, vol. 1, pp. 331–342, 1960.
- [23] W. H. Bennett, “Magnetically self-focusing streams,” *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 45, pp. 890–897, 1934.
- [24] H. P. Furth, P. H. Rutherford, and H. Selberg, “Tearing mode in the cylindrical tokamak,” *Phys. Fluids*, vol. 16, pp. 181–191, 1973.