Cyclical Program Review of Political Studies One Year Progress Report on Implementation Plan

At the conclusion of the cyclical program review, a final assessment report and implementation plan was agreed by the Vice-Provost (Teaching and Learning), Dean, Faculty of Arts and Science, Vice-Provost and Dean, School of Graduate Studies. These deans are responsible for monitoring the implementation plan.

Please complete the table below to report on progress made in the past year against the implementation plan. Add further explanation if necessary in the *additional notes* section. The table is to be completed by the program director and reviewed by the relevant deans/associate deans.

Please complete this report and return it to quqap@queensu.ca by 1 September 2017. The Vice-Provost (Teaching and Learning) will review this progress report and discuss with the Provost. Please note that monitoring reports will be made available to the public on the Provost's Office web site.

ONE YEAR FOLLOW UP

| D 1.1 | D 1 | D '1 '1' | 1 | DI ' 1' (|
|--------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Recommendation | Proposed | Responsibility | Timeline for | Please indicate |
| | Follow-up | for Leading | Addressing | whether the |
| | | Follow-up | Recommendation | implementation is on |
| | | | | target and on time, |
| | | | | and provide a brief |
| | | | | description. |
| | | | | |
| 1. To ensure that | Initiate | Associate dean | Deans of faculty | This review of our |
| the current mix of | discussions | (arts and | of arts and | undergrad offerings |
| large introductory | with | science) | science's to the | was begun at the |
| courses, smaller- | department | | vice-provost | department's first |
| sized lecture | head, | | (teaching and | retreat held in Spring |
| courses and | relevant | | learning) | 2017. In that day- |
| several small | associate | | September 2017 | long, faculty-only |
| seminar courses is | dean and | | | discussion we agreed |
| the optimal | centre for | | | that while our mix of |
| balance for | teaching | | | large courses at the |
| achieving the | and | | | first and second year |
| programs' stated | learning | | | were appropriate, we |
| learning | | | | ought to do more |
| outcomes, the | | | | skills-building in each |
| SCPRC | | | | year. |
| recommends that | | | | |
| the department | | | | We began a |
| complete a | | | | departmental |
| curriculum | | | | conversation about |
| review of all its | | | | how we deploy |
| undergraduate | | | | tutorials, and that |
| programs in | | | | conversation has |
| consultation with | | | | already resulted in |
| the centre for | | | | several |
| teaching and | | | | reconfigurations of |
| learning. The aim | | | | third year classes |
| of the review | | | | (POLS 391, POLS 384, |
| should be to | | | | POLS 358) from |
| create a | | | | traditional didactic, |
| comprehensive | | | | one way tutorials to |
| and cohesive | | | | interactive, hands-on |
| curriculum plan | | | | simulations and |
| that ensures all | | | | modelling. The new |
| decisions made | | | | interactive classrooms |
| about course | | | | in Mac Corry will be |
| about course | | | | III Mac Corry will be |

| offerings, numbers of seminars, class size and modes of delivery are grounded in best practices in teaching and learning. | | | | very useful for our reconfiguration about delivery of the curriculum. |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| 2. To ensure that student assessment is formative and transparent, the SCPRC recommends that the department works with the centre for teaching and learning to ensure: clear assessment criteria are associated with each course; there are multiple opportunities for feedback; and, that a variety of pedagogical approaches and assessment tools are utilized. | Initiate discussions with department head, relevant associate dean and centre for teaching and learning | Associate dean (arts and science) | Deans of faculty of arts and science's report to the vice-provost (teaching and learning) September 2017 | This was also discussed at our Spring retreat. All instructors, including adjuncts, will receive a rubric for grading. This will indicate what a letter grade should indicate in terms of quality of work. The document will also establish best practices, such as ensuring a diversity of assessment tools, providing students with adequate feedback prior to the add/drop date and a closer alignment of our department's pedagogic goals with the assessment criteria. |
| 3. Implement strategies to ensure progression towards timely degree completion at the | Initiate discussions with department head and relevant associate | Associate dean (school of graduate studies) | Dean school of graduate studies' report to the vice- provost (teaching and learning) September 2017 | At the departmental retreat, we discussed the possibility of a paper-based dissertation model (like ECON) that may be more appropriate |

| to pursue emia. ulso will institute arly progress ate through a |
|--|
| ulso will institute arly progress |
| arly progress |
| arly progress |
| |
| ato through a |
| ite unougn a |
| _ |
| ertation/proposal |
| quium. This will |
| v faculty to see |
| readth of work |
| g undertaken and |
| create intellectual |
| ections among |
| ents whose work |
| esses diverse |
| tions with similar |
| nodological or |
| retical/conceptual |
| oaches. |
| |
| note with some |
| e that the 2017 |
| en's and U15 |
| l Report show |
| the mean time for |
| S MA student |
| oletion is 3.5 |
| s vs. 4.93 for |
| en's. For PhD |
| ents, the POLS |
| age is 14.0 terms |
| e Queen's is |
| 3. |
| |

Additional Notes:

We have discussed the CPR report explicitly at a Departmental Meeting and devoted a full day to discussing the recommendations at a Retreat in Spring 2017. The Department is working to ensure alignment of undergraduate expectations, evaluations and modes of assessment with our department's learning objectives. We have also

benefited from our own exit survey done two years ago for students in four cohorts in the early 2000s. That has allowed us to benchmark how we have progressed over that time.

While we take some solace in our grad student completion times, we are working towards ensuring progress across the board. We place emphasis on maintaining a culture of collegiality and esprit de corps that have always marked our graduate program. We are doing this by creating more regularized opportunities for faculty-graduate student feedback.

We have appreciated the opportunity provided by the CPR to reflect on our strengths but also re-think where our undergraduate and graduate program may improve.