

SOLIDARITY AND PERCEPTIONS OF NATIONSHOOD

CHRISTIAN ALBREKT LARSEN
CENTRE FOR COMPARATIVE WELFARE STUDIES
CCWS.DK



AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Range of solidarity



Negativ impact from migration/ethnic diversity?

- Some support
(Alesina & Glaeser 2004, Larsen 2011, Eger 2010, Eger & Braznau 2017....)
 - Some non-findings
(Mau & Burkardt 2009, Frady and Finnigan 2014)
- No law-like pattern (exogenous attitudes)
- Conditional effects (endogenous attitudes)



Welfare (nation) states





***"Low-intensity
civic nationalism"***

Ex. Sweden

***"High-intensity
civic nationalism"***

Ex. USA



Non-mobilised

Mobilised

***"Low-intensity
ethnic nationalism"***

Ex. Japan

***"High-intensity
ethnic nationalism"***

Ex. Bulgaria

"Ethnic"



Data material


- International Social Survey Program
- National identity module
1995 (23 countries) 2003 (33 countries), 2013 (33 countries)
- 104,605 responses (both parents citizenship)



Method

- “Some people say that the following things are important for being truly [nationality]. Others say they are not important. How important do you think each of the following is...”.
- 1) to have been born in [country].
- 2) to have [country] citizenship.
- 3) to have lived in [country] for most of one's life.
- 4) to be able to speak [country language].
- 5) to be a [dominant religion].
- 6) to respect [country nationality] political institutions and laws.
- 7) to feel [country nationality].
- Multi-classification analyses (MCA)





"Civic"

*"High-intensity
civic nationalism"*

+Respect law



+Speak language




+Feel national





+Citizenship





"Mobilised"































"Civic"








Average position of countries in 1995 (n=23)



Axis 2







"Civic"

*"High-intensity
civic nationalism"*

95 Norway

Australia

Slovakia

LV95 Latvia

Czech Republic

SI95 Slovenia

New Zealand JS95 United States


AT95 Austria

Russia


PL95 Poland

"Mobilised"

East Africa




Axis 2




Average position of countries in 2013 (n=33)





AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK





*"Low-intensity
civic nationalism"*













Changes in average position of countries from 1995, 2003 to 2013








Changes in average position of countries over two wages (1995-2003 or 2003-2013)












All available countries
- latest available measure (n =44)





Thanks for the invitation



AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK



AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Conclusion

- Good news for solidarity in more ethnic diverse contexts
 - Stable low-intensity civic nationalism in some countries
 - New-comers with low-intensity civic nationalism
- Bad news for solidarity in more ethnic diverse contexts
 - Stable high-intensity ethnic nationalism in some countries
 - New comers to high-intensity ethnic nationalism



