**REB Scientific Review Form**

**Project Title:**

**Principal Investigator:**

**Is this an Investigator Initiated/Sponsored Study?**

**Scientific Reviewer:**

**Part A: Scientific Reviewer Information and Disclosure:**

1. Do you have a relationship with the Principal Investigator? If yes, please describe:
2. Do you have any financial interest in or connection to the proposed research? If yes, please describe:
3. Can you briefly describe your qualifications/credentials and any institutional affiliation to support your review of this proposal for scientific merit:

**Part B: Review of Protocol for Scientific Merit**

1. Comment on the scientific validity of the proposed study. Are the primary and secondary objectives clearly defined? Are the methods chosen appropriate to answer the question?
2. Comment on the feasibility of the proposed study, are you familiar with the proposed intervention, is the intervention appropriate? Does the proposed intervention have any potential advantages over current standard of care?
3. Comment on the anticipated public or scientific benefit of the research. Does the proposed research address an important research question or information gap? Is there a potential for this research to create fundamental knowledge or contribute to wider understanding?
4. Comment on the appropriateness of the intervention group and the control group. Please address if the groups are clearly defined and if the proposed study population is appropriate to accomplish the aims of the study. Has the sample size been sufficiently justified? If a power calculation has not been included, elaborate on the justification provided.
5. Are the inclusion and exclusion criteria fully listed, applicable and reasonable? Are any problems with recruitment anticipated?
6. What are the strengths of the project?
7. Are there any limitations or weaknesses of the project? If so, how can these be addressed?



1. Comment on any safety concerns of the interventions. Is the proposed safety monitoring sufficient for this study?
2. Do you have any other feedback to provide the REB about the scientific merit of the proposed research that is not highlighted in the previous response?